UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.			
10/597,667	08/03/2006	Bernhard Gleich	DE040037	7 4705		
	7590 01/28/201 LLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER				
P.O. BOX 3001			LEE, SHUN K			
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2884			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			01/28/2011	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No) .	Applicant(s)			
		10/597,667		GLEICH, BERNHARD			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Shun Lee		2884			
Period	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)[>	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 No	ovember 2010					
,	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)	,—						
٥,٢	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	·	,,,					
Dispos	ition of Claims						
4)	Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-17</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-6,8-10 and 12-16</u> is/are allowed.						
6)∑	Claim(s) <u>7,11 and 17</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[] Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election require	ement.				
Applica	ition Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 August 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
· - / <u></u>		•	•	-			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
, —	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				0 1021		
•	•	priority upday 0	ELLO O 8 110/a)	(d) or (f)			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (t).			
č	ı)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		- 5 I				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa					
	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) per No(s)/Mail Date	6)	Other:	асент Арріісаціон			

Art Unit: 2884

DETAILED ACTION

National Stage Application

Information Disclosure Statement

- 1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609.04(a) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.
- 2. The listing of references in the Search Report is not considered to be an information disclosure statement (IDS) complying with 37 CFR 1.98. 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2) requires a legible copy of: (1) each foreign patent; (2) each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; (3) for each cited pending U.S. application, the application specification including claims, and any drawing of the application, or that portion of the application which caused it to be listed including any claims directed to that portion, unless the cited pending U.S. application is stored in the Image File Wrapper (IFW) system; and (4) all other information, or that portion which caused it to be listed. In addition, each IDS must include a list of all patents, publications, applications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office (see 37 CFR 1.98(a)(1) and (b)), and MPEP § 609.04(a), subsection I. states, "the list ... must be submitted on a separate paper." Therefore, the references cited in the Search Report have not been considered. Applicant is advised that the date of submission of any item of information

Art Unit: 2884

or any missing element(s) will be the date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of filing the IDS, including all "statement" requirements of 37 CFR 1.97(e). See MPEP § 609.05(a).

Claim Objections

- 3. Claims 7 and 17 are objected to because of the following informalities:
 - (a) in claim 7, "1011" on line 2 should probably be --10¹¹-- (see original claim 7); and
- (b) in claim 17, "1015" on line 2 should probably be --10¹⁵-- (see original claim 7).

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The specification states (pg. 6) that " ... Alternatively, instead of magnetically sensitive fluorescent markers, electrically sensitive fluorescent markers could be used ... " and (pg. 7) that " ... the method described below for magnetically sensitive fluorescent markers can be carried out analogously for electrically sensitive markers as well ... ". The key phrases are "Alternatively, instead of", and "analogously". Applicant has not pointed out where the new claim is supported,

Art Unit: 2884

nor does there appear to be a written description of the claim limitation "further comprising electrically sensitive fluorescent markers and a further field generator for generating an inhomogeneous electric field in the sample" in the application as filed (MPEP § 2163.04).

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. Claims 7 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 7 recites the limitation "the inhomogeneous electric field" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 17 recites the limitation "the inhomogeneous electric field" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 1, 2, 4-6, 8-10, and 12-16 are allowed.
- 9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the instant application is deemed to be directed to a nonobvious improvement over the invention disclosed in Schiller *et al.* (Subwavelength optical magnetic-resonance imaging, Journal of the Optical Society of America A, Vol. 9, no. 5 (May 1992), pp. 683-699). The improvements comprise in combination with other recited elements: (a) the tip of the first pole extending beyond the second pole bodies, wherein the focal region in the sample is across from the tip and the focal region has a size below an optical resolution of the fluorescence microscope, and wherein a strength of the inhomogeneous

Art Unit: 2884

magnetic field has a local minimum at the focal region as recited in independent claim 1; and (b) the tip of the first pole extending beyond the second pole bodies, wherein the focal region in the sample is across from the tip, and wherein a strength of the inhomogeneous magnetic field has a local minimum at the focal region ... wherein the focal region has a size below an optical resolution of the fluorescence microscope as recited in independent claim 5.

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shun Lee whose telephone number is (571) 272-2439. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

Art Unit: 2884

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Porta can be reached on (571) 272-2444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/S. L./ Examiner, Art Unit 2884

> /David P. Porta/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2884